

UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No.

PRESIDENT OF TOWN COUNCIL  
ELIZABETH A. LOPER, ALDERMAN KEITH  
J. BLACK, ALDERMAN KATHLEEN M.  
GROSS and ALDERMAN WILLIAM BIRCH,  
elected officials of the Town of Briny Breezes;

COUNCILMEMBERS WALTER FAJET and  
JACKY BRAVO, elected officials of Miami  
Springs, Florida;

COMMISSIONER PATRICIA PETRONE, an  
elected official of Lighthouse Point, Florida;

MAYOR DANIELLE H. MOORE,  
PRESIDENT OF TOWN COUNCIL  
MARGARET A. ZEIDMAN, COUNCIL  
MEMBER EDWARD A. COONEY, COUNCIL  
MEMBER LEWIS CRAMPTON, COUNCIL  
MEMBER JULIE ARASKOG and COUNCIL  
MEMBER BOBBIE LINDSAY, elected  
officials of the Town of Palm Beach, Florida;

MAYOR BRENT LATHAM, VICE MAYOR  
RICHARD CHERVONY, and  
COMMISSIONER ANDY ROTONDARO,  
elected officials of North Bay Village, Florida;

MAYOR GLENN SINGER, an elected official  
of the Town of Golden Beach, Florida;

MAYOR BERNARD KLEPACH, an elected  
official of Indian Creek, Florida;

MAYOR JEFFREY P. FREIMARK, VICE-  
MAYOR SETH E. SALVER, COUNCILMAN  
DAVID ALBAUM, and COUNCILMAN  
DAVID WOLF, elected officials of the Village  
of Bal Harbour, Florida;

MAYOR MARGARET BROWN,  
COMMISSIONER MARY MOLINA-MACFIE,  
COMMISSIONER CHRIS EDDY,

COMMISSIONER HENRY MEAD, and  
COMMISSIONER BYRON L. JAFFE, elected  
officials of the City of Weston, Florida;

MAYOR SHELLY PETROLIA, VICE-  
MAYOR RYAN BOYLSTON, DEPUTY  
VICE-MAYOR ROB LONG,  
COMMISSIONER ADAM FRANKEL, and  
COMMISSIONER ANGELA BURNS, elected  
officials of the City of Delray Beach, Florida;

MAYOR JOSEPH AYOUB, COMMISSIONER  
ANDY STEINGOLD, COMMISSIONER  
CARLOS DIAZ, COMMISSIONER NANCY J.  
BESORE, and COMMISSIONER CLIFF  
MERZ, elected officials of the City of Safety  
Harbor, Florida;

COMMISSIONER JEREMY KATZMAN, an  
elected official of Cooper City, Florida;

MAYOR SCOTT J. BROOK, VICE-MAYOR  
SHAWN CERRA, COMMISSIONER JOSHUA  
SIMMONS, COMMISSIONER JOY CARTER,  
and COMMISSIONER NANCY METAYER  
BOWEN, elected officials of the City of Coral  
Springs, Florida;

VICE-CHAIR ERIK BRECHNITZ, an elected  
official of the City of Marco Island, Florida;

VICE MAYOR ARLENE SCHWARTZ,  
COMMISSIONER ANTONIO V. ARSERIO,  
COMMISSIONER JOANNE SIMONE, and  
COMMISSIONER ANTHONY N.  
CAGGIANO, elected officials of the City of  
Margate, Florida;

MAYOR ROBERT T. WAGNER, COUNCIL  
MEMBER JOHN STEPHENS III, COUNCIL  
MEMBER TORY CJ GEILE, COUNCIL  
MEMBER JAMES B. BAGBY, and COUNCIL  
MEMBER TERESA R. HEBERT, elected  
officials of the City of Destin, Florida;

MAYOR KENNETH R. THURSTON, COMMISSIONER MELISSA P. DUNN, and COMMISSIONER SARAI "RAY" MARTIN, elected officials of the City of Lauderhill, Florida,

MAYOR BILL GANZ, VICE-MAYOR BERNIE PARNES, COMMISSIONER BEN PRESTON, and COMMISSIONER MICHAEL HUDAK, elected officials of the City of Deerfield Beach, Florida;

VICE-MAYOR PAUL A. KRUSS and COMMISSIONER RACHEL FRIEDLAND, elected officials of the City of Aventura, Florida;

VICE-MAYOR MICHAEL NAPOLEONE, COUNCILWOMAN TANYA SISKIND, COUNCILMAN JOHN T. MCGOVERN, and COUNCILMAN MICHAEL DRAHOS, elected officials of the Village of Wellington;

MAYOR FRED CLEVELAND, elected official of the City of New Smyrna Beach, Florida;

COUNCILMEMBER JENNIFER ANDREU, elected official of the City of Plantation, Florida,

COUNCILMEMBER KEM E. MASON, elected official of the Town of Lantana, Florida; and

MAYOR CHARLES EDWARD DODD, VICE MAYOR KELLY DIXON, COUNCIL MEMBER FREDERICK B. JONES, COUNCIL MEMBER BOB MCPARTLAN, AND COUNCIL MEMBER CHRISTOPHER NUNN, elected officials of the City of Sebastian, Florida,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ASHLEY LUKIS, in her official capacity as Chair of the Florida Commission on Ethics; MICHELLE ANCHORS, in her official capacity as Vice Chair of the Florida Commission on Ethics; WILLIAM P. CERVONE, in his official

capacity as a Member of the Florida Commission on Ethics; TINA DESCOVICH, in her official capacity as Member of the Florida Commission on Ethics; FREDDIE FIGGERS, in his official capacity as a Member of the Florida Commission on Ethics; LUIS M. FUSTE, in his official capacity as a Member of the Florida Commission on Ethics; and WENGAY M. NEWTON, SR., in his official capacity as a Member of the Florida Commission on Ethics,

Defendants.

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs bring this action against Defendants for declaratory and injunctive relief, and state as follows:

#### **OVERVIEW**

1. This is an action by a large number of Florida elected municipal officials challenging a recently enacted law (“SB 774”) that on or before July 1, 2024 compels elected municipal officials in office as of January 1, 2024 to utter very specific statements, in writing and available to the public at large through the Internet, regarding the elected officials’ personal finances, including, among other things, stating the exact amount of their net worth and income, the total dollar value of their household goods, and the precise value of every asset and amount of every liability in excess of \$1,000. An elected municipal official’s failure to make these public statements will result in significant fines, civil penalties, and even potential removal from office.

2. SB 774 amended, among other statutes, Fla. Stat. § 112.3144, and renders elected municipal officials in office as of January 1, 2024, and municipal candidates subject to the financial disclosure requirements of Fla. Const., art. II, § 8(j).

3. Prior to the enactment of SB 774, elected municipal officials and municipal candidates were required to provide financial disclosures via a document called “Form 1” pursuant

to Fla. Stat. § 112.3145, but were not subject to the requirements of Fla. Const., art. II, § 8(j). However, Florida Statute sections 112.3144 and 99.061, as amended by SB 774 in 2023, respectively make *all* elected municipal officers and municipal candidates subject to the filing requirements of “Form 6,” which demands much more intrusive financial disclosures as outlined in the Florida Constitution and section 112.3144. A copy of Form 1 is attached as Exhibit A, and a copy of Form 6 is attached as Exhibit B.

4. Forcing municipal elected officials and municipal candidates to publicly make such statements impairs their right to be free of government-compelled, content-based, non-commercial speech, in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

5. Rather than being the least restrictive, narrowly tailored means of accomplishing a compelling state interest, these new, financial disclosure requirements imposed on elected municipal officials and municipal candidates through SB 744 are the most restrictive means available – stricter and more onerous than required of federal elected officials (including the President of the United States) and of elected officials in other states throughout the country.

6. The additional, financial information statements required to be made by Form 6 (*e.g.*, the disclosure of exact net worth, exact income and precise values of household goods and other assets and liabilities), as compared to Form 1, have little, if any, bearing on an elected official’s municipal service, does not prevent or even ameliorate conflicts of interest or public corruption, and does not increase public confidence in government.

7. Form 1 is a less restrictive, alternative means of accomplishing the same governmental interests, as would be the less onerous disclosure forms used by the federal government or any of the other states in the United States.

8. Indeed, municipal elected officials and candidates operated under the requirements of Form 1 for decades, and nothing in the Legislature's enactment of the new Form 6 requirement reflected that Form 1 was insufficient and necessitated a change.

9. As such, this action seeks an order (i) declaring the 2023 amendments to Fla. Stat. § 112.3144 related to elected municipal officials and any penalties arising therefrom, including those in Fla. Stat. § 112.317, are unconstitutional under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution, and (ii) enjoining Defendants from enforcing the disclosure requirements.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to this Court's federal question jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as this case arises under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, as made applicable to the States by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

11. This case seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 57, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

12. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as two of the Defendants (Freddie Figgers and Luis M. Fuste) reside in this District (and all are residents of this State), the majority of the plaintiffs reside and serve as elected officials in the District, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim herein occurred in this District.

### **THE PARTIES**

#### **A. Plaintiffs**

13. Plaintiffs in this action consist of the following current, elected officials of Florida municipalities:

- a. Town of Briny Breezes President of Town Council Elizabeth A. Loper;
- b. Town of Briny Breezes Alderman Keith J. Black;
- c. Town of Briny Breezes Alderman Kathleen M. Gross;
- d. Town of Briny Breezes Alderman William Birch;
- e. City of Miami Springs Councilmember Walter Fajet;
- f. City of Miami Springs Councilmember Jacky Bravo;
- g. City of Lighthouse Point Commissioner Patricia Petrone;
- h. Town of Palm Beach Mayor Danielle H. Moore;
- i. Town of Palm Beach President of Town Council Margaret A. Zeidman;
- j. Town of Palm Beach Council Member Edward A. Cooney;
- k. Town of Palm Beach Council Member Lewis Crampton;
- l. Town of Palm Beach Council Member Julie Araskog;
- m. Town of Palm Beach Council Member Bobbie Lindsay;
- n. North Bay Village Mayor Brent Latham;
- o. North Bay Village Vice Mayor Richard Chervony;
- p. North Bay Village Commissioner Andy Rotondaro;
- q. Golden Beach Mayor Glenn Singer;
- r. Indian Creek Mayor Bernard Klepach;
- s. Village of Bal Harbour Mayor Jeffrey P. Freimark ;
- t. Village of Bal Harbour Vice-Mayor Seth E. Salver;
- u. Village of Bal Harbour Councilman David Albaum;
- v. Village of Bal Harbour Councilman David Wolf;
- w. City of Weston Mayor Margaret Brown;
- x. City of Weston Commissioner Mary Molina-Macfie;

- y. City of Weston Commissioner Chris Eddy;
- z. City of Weston Commissioner Henry Mead;
- aa. City of Weston Commissioner Byron L. Jaffe;
- bb. City of Delray Beach Mayor Shelly Petrolia;
- cc. City of Delray Beach Vice Mayor Ryan Boylston;
- dd. City of Delray Beach Deputy Vice-Mayor Rob Long;
- ee. City of Delray Beach Commissioner Adam Frankel;
- ff. City of Delray Beach Commissioner Angela Burns;
- gg. City of Safety Harbor Mayor Joseph Ayoub;
- hh. City of Safety Harbor Commissioner Andy Steingold;
- ii. City of Safety Harbor Commissioner Carlos Diaz;
- jj. City of Safety Harbor Commissioner Nancy J. Besore;
- kk. City of Safety Harbor Commissioner Cliff Merz;
- ll. Cooper City Commissioner Jeremy Katzman;
- mm. City of Coral Springs Mayor Scott J. Brook;
- nn. City of Coral Springs Vice Mayor Shawn Cerra;
- oo. City of Coral Springs Commissioner Joshua Simmons;
- pp. City of Coral Springs Commissioner Joy Carter;
- qq. City of Coral Springs Commissioner Nancy Metayer Bowen;
- rr. City of Marco Island Vice-Chair Erik Brechnitz;
- ss. City of Margate Vice-Mayor Arlene Schwartz;
- tt. City of Margate Commissioner Antonio V. Arserio;
- uu. City of Margate Commissioner Joanne Simone;
- vv. City of Margate Commissioner Anthony N. Caggiano;



- ww. City of Destin Mayor Robert T. Wagner;
- xx. City of Destin Council Member John Stephens III;
- yy. City of Destin Council Member Torey CJ Geile;
- zz. City of Destin Council Member James B. Bagby;
- aaa. City of Destin Council Member Teresa R. Hebert;
- bbb. City of Lauderhill Mayor Kenneth R. Thurston;
- ccc. City of Lauderhill Commissioner Melissa P. Dunn;
- ddd. City of Lauderhill Commissioner Sarai “Ray” Martin;
- eee. City of Deerfield Beach Mayor Bill Ganz;
- fff. City of Deerfield Beach Vice-Mayor Bernie Parness;
- ggg. City of Deerfield Beach Commissioner Ben Preston;
- hhh. City of Deerfield Beach Commissioner Michael Hudak;
- iii. City of Aventura Vice-Mayor Paul A. Kruss;
- jjj. City of Aventura Commissioner Rachel Friedland;
- kkk. Village of Wellington Vice-Mayor Michael Napoleone;
- lll. Village of Wellington Councilwoman Tanya Siskind;
- mmm. Village of Wellington Councilwoman John T. McGovern;
- nnn. Village of Wellington Councilwoman Michael Drahos;
- ooo. City of New Smyrna Beach Mayor Fred Cleveland;
- ppp. City of Plantation Councilmember Jennifer Andreu;
- qqq. Town of Lantana Councilmember Kem E. Mason;
- rrr. City of Sebastian Mayor Charles Edward Dodd;
- sss. City of Sebastian Vice Mayor Kelly Dixon;
- ttt. City of Sebastian Council Member Frederick B. Jones;

uuu. City of Sebastian Council Member Bob McPartlan; and

vvv. City of Sebastian Council Member Christopher Nunn.

14. Plaintiffs are each duly elected or appointed officials of incorporated municipalities existing under the laws of the State of Florida and are currently in office.

15. As a result of the passage of SB 774, as of January 1, 2024, each, individual Plaintiff is subject to the financial disclosure requirements of Fla. Const., art. II, § 8(j) and Fla. Stat. § 112.3144, and are further subject to the fines, penalties and other enforcement mechanisms outlined in Fla. Stat. §§ 112.317 and 112.324.

16. Each Plaintiff is, therefore, required to file the requisite Form 6 (rather than the prior Form 1) on or before July 1, 2024.

17. The failure of any municipal elected official, including each Plaintiff, to make the compelled statements subjects him or her to a daily fine of \$25 per day up to a maximum of \$1,500 and, following an investigation and public hearing, a potential civil penalty of up to \$20,000 and, among other things, a potential recommendation of removal from office. *See* Fla. Stat. §§ 112.3144(8)(f), 112.324(4), and 112.317.

18. Plaintiffs now face prior to the imminent deadline of July 1, 2024, the obligation to engage in non-commercial, content-based speech requirement to publicly disclose, against their will, the financial information required in Form 6, or face fines or other penalties.

19. Throughout Florida, more than 100 municipal elected officials resigned rather than agree to engage in such unwanted speech.

20. Plaintiffs strongly desire to continue to serve the public and have therefore not yet resigned, but instead have chosen to challenge the new compelled speech requirement.

21. Accordingly, Plaintiffs have each suffered a concrete and particularized injury-in-fact that is actual or imminent.

## **B. Defendants**

22. Defendant, Ashley Lukis (“Lukis”) is the Chair and a member of the Florida Commission on Ethics (“Commission”), a commission existing pursuant to Fla. Const., Art. II, § 8(h)(1) and Fla. Stat. § 112.320. Lukis is sued in her official capacity as Chair of the Commission.

23. Defendant, Michelle Anchors (“Anchors”) is the Vice Chair and a member of the Commission. Anchors is sued in her official capacity as Vice Chair of the Commission.

24. Defendant, William P. Cervone (“Cervone”) is a member of the Commission. Cervone is sued in his official capacity as member of the Commission.

25. Defendant Tina Descovich (“Descovich”) is a member of the Commission. Descovich is sued in her official capacity as member of the Commission.

26. Defendant, Freddie Figgers (“Figgers”) is a member of the Commission. Figgers is sued in his official capacity as member of the Commission and is a resident of this District.

27. Defendant, Luis Fuste (“Fuste”) is a member of the Commission. Fuste is sued in his official capacity as member of the Commission and is a resident of this District.

28. Defendant, Wengay M. Newton, Sr. (“Newton”) is a member of the Commission. Newton is sued in his official capacity as member of the Commission.

29. Lukis, Anchors, Cervone, Descovich, Figgers, Fuste, and Newton, collectively, comprise the Commission.

30. “The Agency Head is the entire Commission, which is responsible for final agency action.” *See* Statement of Organization and Operation of the Commission on Ethics, <https://www.ethics.state.fl.us/Documents/Ethics/statement%20of%20org.pdf?cp=2024127> (last accessed February 12, 2024).

31. The Commission, through each Defendant, is charged with implementing and enforcing the State’s financial disclosure laws, including, among many other things, the receipt of

Form 6 disclosures, training regarding Form 6, investigating alleged violations regarding Form 6 filings, imposing fines for failure to file Form 6, holding enforcement hearings regarding failure to file Form 6, making recommendations of removal from office for failure to file Form 6, and rendering legally binding advisory opinions regarding Form 6. *See* Fla. Const., Art. II, § 8(g); Fla. Stat. §§ 112.3144, 112.317, 112.320.

32. The Commission is also required to identify every person required to file Form 6, provide notification of said requirement to each person subject to these disclosures, and ensure compliance with the disclosure requirements by each person subject thereto. *See* Fla. Const., Art. II, § 8(g); Fla. Stat. §§ 112.3144, 112.317, 112.320.

33. In addition, the Commission's 2022 Annual Report (as well as previous annual reports) expressly requested that the Legislature enact legislation to require that elected municipal officials complete Form 6, rather than Form 1, leading to the enactment of SB 774. *See* Annual Report to the Florida Legislature for Calendar Year 2022, pg. 23, <https://ethics.state.fl.us/Documents/Publications/2022%20Annual%20Report.pdf?cp=202425> (last accessed February 12, 2024).

34. The only justification given by the Commission for its recommendation was:

Elected municipal officials are very important and administer vast amounts of public resources. For these, and other reasons, their disclosure should be on par with that of county officials and others who file Form 6, rather than Form 1. The Commission believes the enhanced disclosure should be applied to all elected municipal officials regardless of the population or revenue of the municipality.

35. Nowhere in its report did the Commission conclude that there has been an increase in the need to oppose corruption or conflicts of interest at the municipal level or that Form 1 in any way was insufficient to the task of guarding against those governmental ills. In short, the Commission justified its recommendation merely by noting that municipal officials should have

to disclose the same information others already disclose, without regard to the municipality's population, revenue, annual budget, or any elected municipal compensation amount, if any.

36. All acts alleged herein by Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, or persons acting on their behalf were done and are continuing to be done under color of state law.

37. Plaintiffs bring this action against the state officers (namely, the members of the Commission) who have the responsibility to enforce the Form 6 requirement against municipal elected officials (including Plaintiffs) and seek only prospective equitable relief to end the continuing violations of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **A. History of Ethical Standards in Florida**

38. Beginning in the late 1960s, the Florida Legislature has enacted numerous laws regulating ethical conduct for Florida's elected officials, including laws related to the solicitation or acceptance of gifts, unauthorized compensation, misuse or abuse of public position, disclosure of certain information, doing business with one's agency, conflicting employment, lobbying restrictions, dual public employment, anti-nepotism, conflicts of interest, and financial disclosure. *See generally* Fla. Stat., Chapter 112.

39. The interests that the financial disclosures are intended to serve are stated by the Commission: "Financial disclosure is required of public officials and employees because it enables the public to evaluate potential conflicts of interest, deters corruption, and increases public confidence in government." *See* Florida Commission on Ethics, Financial Disclosure Information, [www.ethics.state.fl.us/FinancialDisclosure/Index.aspx](http://www.ethics.state.fl.us/FinancialDisclosure/Index.aspx), last accessed February 12, 2024.

40. In 1976, the Florida Constitution was amended to require that all elected, state constitutional officers annually file a full and public disclosure of their financial interests, which

is done through the state-adopted Form 6, requiring the disclosure of highly personal financial information. *See* Fla. Const. Art. II, § 8; Fla. Stat. § 112.3144; Exh. B.

41. The Form 6 requirement did not apply to elected municipal officials or candidates for municipal office prior to January 1, 2024.

### **B. The Change from Form 1 to Form 6 for Elected Municipal Officials**

42. Instead, prior to January 1, 2024, elected municipal officials were required to make a more limited financial disclosure that nevertheless provides sufficient information to satisfy the interests of preventing conflicts of interest and public corruption and increasing public confidence in government. *See* Fla. Stat. § 112.3145. The elected municipal officials' financial disclosure was done through the state-adopted Form 1. Exh. A.

43. In the 2023 legislative session, the Florida Legislature duly enacted (and the Governor signed) SB 774, which was codified at Laws of Florida 2023-09, and which amended (in relevant part) Fla. Stat. § 112.3144, to change the financial disclosure requirements to require, as of January 1, 2024, that all elected municipal mayors and elected members of municipal governing boards (and candidates for such offices) file a Form 6 financial disclosure, rather than the previously required Form 1. *See* Fla. S.B. 774; Fla. Stat. §§ 99.061, 112.3144 (2023).

### **C. Comparison of Form 6 to Form 1**

44. Form 6 is a highly intrusive and extreme level of required, public financial disclosure, mandating the disclosure of private financial information unrelated to any official duties and unnecessary to satisfy the interest of preventing conflicts of interest and public corruption or increasing public confidence in government. *See* Exh. B.

45. Specifically, Form 6 requires that the official disclose:

(a) the official's exact net worth, to the penny, (b) the exact aggregate value of all household goods and personal effects, (c) the precise value of every other asset individually valued at over \$1,000 (including a description of

the asset), (d) the exact outstanding amount of all liabilities in excess of \$1,000, including the name and address of the creditor, (e) every primary source of income that exceeded \$1,000 during the year, including the name and address of the source of income and the precise amount of income, (f) every secondary source of income in excess of \$1,000 from any business of which the official owns more than 5%, including the name of the business entity, the major sources of business income (namely, any that account for 10% or more of the business's revenue), and the address and principal business activity or source, and (g) any interest in certain specified types of businesses.

*See* Exh. B.

46. In contrast, Form 1 requires that the official disclose:

(a) the name, address and principal business active for every primary sources of income in excess of \$2,500 (but not the amount), (b) every secondary source of income in excess of \$5,000 from any business of which the official owns more than 5%, including the name of the business entity, the major source of business income (any that account for 10% or more of the business's revenue), and the address and principal business activity or source, (c) a description of all real property (but not the value) of which the official had more than a 5% ownership interest, (d) a description (but not the value) of intangible property owned by the official and valued at more than \$10,000, (e) the name and address of each creditor to whom the official owed more than \$10,000 (but not the amount owed), and (f) any interest in certain specified types of businesses.

*See* Exh. A.

47. The information in Form 1 and Form 6 of each filer is made publicly available through the Commission's website.

## COUNT I

### **COMPELLED, CONTENT-BASED SPEECH IN VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983**

48. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 47, as if fully set forth herein.

49. The First Amendment to the United States Constitution, as applied to the States by the Fourteenth Amendment, prohibits the government, including Defendants, from abridging Plaintiffs' freedom of speech though government-compelled speech.

50. The First Amendment's speech rights include the right to speak freely, the right to refrain from speaking at all, and the right not to speak certain words or messages.

51. The statements required by Fla. Stat. § 112.3144, through Form 6, constitute non-commercial, compelled speech from Plaintiffs in violation of the First Amendment.

52. Specifically, Fla. Stat. § 112.3144 unconstitutionally compels Plaintiffs to make invasive, public disclosures about their personal finances through Form 6.

53. The required disclosures of Fla. Stat. § 112.3144, through Form 6, are content-based speech because they compel individuals to speak a particular message. Compelled speech is no less compelled and no less speech because it is required to be in writing.

54. For example, among many other things, on July 1, 2024, each Plaintiff will be forced to say the words: "My Net Worth as of December 31, 2023 was \$\_\_\_\_\_." *See* Exh. B at 1.

55. Plaintiffs would not otherwise engage in such non-commercial, content-based speech (namely, publicly disclosing to the public their exact net worth, income, asset values and other personal financial information required in Form 6) but for the requirements of Fla. Stat. § 112.3144 and the threat of fines, penalties and other enforcement mechanisms set forth in Fla. Stat. § 112.317.

56. The compelled speech in Form 6, as required by Fla. Stat. § 112.3144, is readily reviewable (now and for many years to come) by the public on the Internet, and the information in each filed Form 6 is clearly and readily associated with the individual filer (i.e., via the name of each individual Plaintiff).



57. Because the compelled speech is effectuated through state statute, the constitutional deprivation at issue here is caused by official policy of the state and under color of state law.

58. Although Plaintiffs recognize the government's interest in preventing conflicts of interest, deterring corruption, and increasing public confidence in government, Fla. Stat. § 112.3144, as amended by SB 744, and the application of Form 6 to elected municipal officials are not narrowly tailored to achieve these interests.

59. Requiring Plaintiffs to make the additional, compelled speech required by Form 6 (as opposed to the statements previously required through Form 1) are not the least restrictive means to accomplish any compelling government purpose.

60. Accordingly, an actual controversy exists between Plaintiffs and Defendants, each of whom have adverse legal interests of sufficient immediacy to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment and injunctive relief.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be entered in their favor:

A. Declaring, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and Rule 57, Fed. R. Civ P., that Fla. Stat. § 112.3144 (2023) compels Plaintiffs to engage in content-based, non-commercial speech in violation of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and is, therefore, unconstitutional;

B. Enjoining, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2202, Defendants from enforcing Fla. Stat. § 112.3144 (including the imposition of any fines, penalties or other enforcement) against Plaintiffs, arising from the failure of any Plaintiffs to file a Form 6 while subject to such requirements;

C. Awarding Plaintiffs their costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees) incurred in bringing in this action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, and other applicable law; and

D. Granting such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 15th day of February, 2024.

WEISS SEROTA HELFMAN  
COLE + BIERMAN P.L.  
200 East Broward Blvd., Ste. 1900  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301  
Telephone: (954) 763-4242  
Facsimile: (954) 764-7770

By: /s/ Jamie A. Cole  
JAMIE A. COLE  
Florida Bar No. 767573  
[jcole@wsh-law.com](mailto:jcole@wsh-law.com)  
[msaraff@wsh-law.com](mailto:msaraff@wsh-law.com)  
EDWARD G. GUEDES  
Florida Bar No. 768201  
[eguedes@wsh-law.com](mailto:eguedes@wsh-law.com)  
[szavala@wsh-law.com](mailto:szavala@wsh-law.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

# **Exhibit A**

2023 Form 1 - Statement of Financial Interests

General Information		
Name:	DISCLOSURE FILER	
Address:	SAMPLE ADDRESS	PID SAMPLE
County:	SAMPLE COUNTY	
AGENCY INFORMATION		
Organization	Suborganization	Title
SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE

Disclosure Period
THIS STATEMENT REFLECTS YOUR FINANCIAL INTERESTS FOR CALENDAR YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2023 .

Primary Sources of Income		
PRIMARY SOURCE OF INCOME (Over \$2,500) (Major sources of income to the reporting person) (If you have nothing to report, write "none" or "n/a")		
Name of Source of Income	Source's Address	Description of the Source's Principal Business Activity

2023 Form 1 - Statement of Financial Interests

**Secondary Sources of Income**

SECONDARY SOURCES OF INCOME (Major customers, clients, and other sources of income to businesses owned by the reporting person) (If you have nothing to report, write "none" or "n/a")

Name of Business Entity	Name of Major Sources of Business' Income	Address of Source	Principal Business Activity of Source

**Real Property**

REAL PROPERTY (Land, buildings owned by the reporting person) (If you have nothing to report, write "none" or "n/a")

Location/Description

**Intangible Personal Property**

INTANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY (Stocks, bonds, certificates of deposit, etc. over \$10,000) (If you have nothing to report, write "none" or "n/a")

Type of Intangible	Business Entity to Which the Property Relates

E-FILED SAMPLE

2023 Form 1 - Statement of Financial Interests

**Liabilities**

LIABILITIES (Major debts valued over \$10,000):  
(If you have nothing to report, write "none" or "n/a")

Name of Creditor	Address of Creditor

**Interests in Specified Businesses**

INTERESTS IN SPECIFIED BUSINESSES (Ownership or positions in certain types of businesses)  
(If you have nothing to report, write "none" or "n/a")

Business Entity # 1

**Training**

Based on the office or position you hold, the certification of training required under Section 112.3142, F.S., is not applicable to you for this form year.

E-FILED SAMPLE

2023 Form 1 - Statement of Financial Interests

---

**Signature of Filer**

Digitally signed:

**Filed with COE:**

**E-FILING SAMPLE**

# **Exhibit B**



2023 Form 6 - Full and Public Disclosure of Financial Interests

**General Information**

Name: DISCLOSURE FILER  
 Address: SAMPLE ADDRESS PID SAMPLE  
 County: SAMPLE COUNTY

**AGENCY INFORMATION**

Organization	Suborganization	Title
SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE

**Net Worth**

My Net Worth as of December 31, 2023 was \$ [AMOUNT].

**Assets**

Household goods and personal effects may be reported in a lump sum if their aggregate value exceeds \$1,000. This category includes any of the following, if not held for investment purposes: jewelry; collections of stamps, guns, and numismatic items; art objects; household equipment and furnishings; clothing; other household items; and vehicles for personal use, whether owned or leased.

The aggregate value of my household goods and personal effect is N/A.

ASSETS INDIVIDUALLY VALUED AT OVER \$1,000:

Description of Asset	Value of Asset

2023 Form 6 - Full and Public Disclosure of Financial Interests

<b>Liabilities</b>		
LIABILITIES IN EXCESS OF \$1,000:		
Name of Creditor	Address of Creditor	Amount of Liability
JOINT AND SEVERAL LIABILITIES NOT REPORTED ABOVE:		
Name of Creditor	Address of Creditor	Amount of Liability

<b>Income</b>			
Identify each separate source and amount of income which exceeded \$1,000 during the year, including secondary sources of income. Or attach a complete copy of your 2022 federal income tax return, including all W2s, schedules, and attachments. Please redact any social security or account numbers before attaching your returns, as the law requires these documents be posted to the Commission's website.			
<input type="checkbox"/> I elect to file a copy of my 2023 federal income tax return and all W2s, schedules, and attachments.			
PRIMARY SOURCES OF INCOME:			
Name of Source of Income Exceeding \$1,000	Address of Source of Income	Amount	
SECONDARY SOURCES OF INCOME (Major customers, clients, etc. of businesses owned by reporting person):			
Name of Business Entity	Name of Major Sources of Business Income	Address of Source	Principal Business Activity of Source

2023 Form 6 - Full and Public Disclosure of Financial Interests

**Interests in Specified Businesses**

**Business Entity # 1**

**Training**

Based on the office or position you hold, the certification of training required under Section 112.3142, F.S., is not applicable to you for this form year.

**Signature of Reporting Official or Candidate**

Under the penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing Form 6 and that the facts stated in it are true.

Digitally signed:

Filed with COE: